Schary, Claire

From: Rose, Bob

Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 1:12 PM

To: Schary, Claire

Subject: RE: Water Quality Trading - Interagency Workshop #1 - Preliminary Materials

Claire:

Got your voice mail. I tried to call back, but it would not let me save a message.

I had no problems yesterday, especially with GoTo Meeting using the computer speakers and microphone. It is more of an issue if I have to call in because our phones are bad, and it ties up the line for hours.

This email answers my question, which is when does the actual process start. So yesterday and the other meeting last month were just background. I found the temperature allocation process to make sense. I mostly followed it, certainly in concept.

What about the challenge to Medford trading permit you sent Friday?

Bob

From: Schary, Claire

Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 3:29 PM

To: Bott, Dustan; Keenan, Dru; Park, Chae; Poulsom, Susan; Psyk, Christine; Rose, Bob; Stewart, William C.

Subject: Water Quality Trading - Interagency Workshop #1 - Preliminary Materials

Team,

I'm really glad all of you were able to participate in yesterday's meeting on the Medford permit, and hopefully that filled in some knowledge gaps for you as to how water quality trading might work under this regional approach. Please send me any additional questions you had that you didn't get to ask or weren't sure of the answer and want to try again, and I'll pass them on to the Willamette Partnership to help get an answer, or to flag them to work into future discussions.

What comes next is the first of the two-day workshops Willamette Partnership is organizing to start tackling the topics that need to be fleshed out to develop the regional trading framework. The agenda for the two-day meeting at Alderbrook on April 9-10 is attached, as well as some preliminary documents we will be discussing. These documents are at a high enough level that you should read through them as an outline, with categories of topics that they will flesh out in with information from the discussions and decisions we make at the meeting. Look to see if any key topics are missing, and it's OK to focus on the part of a trading program you are most concerned about and/or familiar with. It's probably best if I consolidate all of the comments into one response from EPA, so <u>send them to me by COB Thursday</u>. If you don't have time, don't worry – this is not the only time we will be reviewing this set of topics, and I will also be providing comments. Also, there will be more documents to look at right before the April 9-10 meeting, so make sure you have time for those.

-- Claire

Claire Schary

schary.claire@epa.gov / (206) 553-8514

From: Carrie Sanneman [mailto:sanneman@willamettepartnership.orq]

Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 4:40 PM

To: hbre461@ECY.WA.GOV; mgil461@ECY.WA.GOV; Schary, Claire; marti.bridges@deq.idaho.gov;

<u>Michael.Mcintyre@deq.idaho.gov</u>; Darcy Sharp; <u>Mark.Shumar@deq.idaho.gov</u>; Ranei Nomura; FOSTER Eugene P; Daniel Turner; GASIK Jon; Karin Power; David Primozich; Neil Mullane; Tim Wigington; Todd Gartner; Bobby Cochran; Joe Furia **Subject:** Interagency Workshop #1 - Preliminary Materials

Hi everyone,

Attached is the draft agenda and our preliminary materials for Workshop #1, April 9th and 10th at Alderbrook. A description of the documents and considerations as you review are below. All of these documents will be available on the project website by the end of the day.

Discussion Draft Guiding Principles for Water Quality Trading

• A short memo is included as the first page of this document to provide context and specific questions that can be addressed as you review the document.

Discussion Draft Outline of Tier 2 Components

• This document is intended to spur discussion on the architecture and key components of water quality trading programs, including definitions and examples of program components. The workshops are where we will work to flesh out each of those components and you'll receive briefing materials on Workshop agenda items to help inform those discussions. This review focuses on whether we are capturing all the pieces and organizing them in a way that makes sense. The Draft Outline document also includes a short memo for context and to provide and specific questions to think about as you review.

Draft JRA 2 page handout

• We would like to move this handout from Draft into Final status and make it available for all to use when communicating about the project.

I will leave it to each agency to determine who is best to review these documents, but if you have questions on that, feel free to give me a call or send an email. Please submit comments to me by **next Friday, March 22nd.**

Best, Carrie

Carrie Sanneman Willamette Partnership Ecosystem Services Project Manager o: 503-894-8426